	Roderick G. Dorman (SBN 96908)			
1	rdorman@mckoolsmith.com			
2	MCKOOL SMITH P.C.			
3	300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900			
3	Los Angeles, California 90071			
4	Telephone: (213) 694-1200			
5				
	Douglas A. Cawley (TX SBN 04035500) (Pro Hac Vice)			
6	dcawley@mckoolsmith.com			
7	MCKOOL SMITH P.C.			
8	300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500 Dallas, Texas 75201			
9	Telephone: (214) 978-4000			
9	Joshua W. Budwin (TX SBN 24050347) (Pro Hac Vice)			
10				
11	John B. Campbell (TX SBN 24036314) (Pro Hac Vice)			
12	jcampbell@mckoolsmith.com			
13	kbaehr@mckoolsmith.com P. Mitch Verboncoeur (TV SBN 24105732) (Pro Hac Vice)			
14	R. Mitch Verboncoeur (TX SBN 24105732) (Pro Hac Vice) mverboncoeur@mckoolsmith.com			
15	MCKOOL SMITH P.C.			
	300 W. 6 th Street, Suite 1700			
16	Austin, Texas 78701			
17	Telephone: (512) 692-8700			
18	0. 71 : .:00			
	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
19	ROVI GUIDES, INC.			
20	UNITED STATES DIS CENTRAL DISTRICT			
21	ROVI GUIDES, INC.,			
	Plaintiff,			
22	v.	Case No. 2:19-cv-00275-AG-FFM		
23	COMCAST CORPORATION; COMCAST (
24	CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC;	Judga: Androw I Guilford		
	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS	Judge: Andrew J. Guilford		
25	MANAGEMENT, LLC; COMCAST	PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE		
26	BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC; () COMCAST HOLDINGS CORPORATION;)	AND DISCOVERY ORDER		
27	COMCAST HOLDINGS CORPORATION, COMCAST SHARED SERVICES, LLC;			
	COMCAST SHARED SERVICES, LEC, COMCAST OF SANTA MARIA, LLC; and			
28	COMCAST OF LOMPOC, LLC,			
	Defendants.			

PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Event	Deadline
Exchange of Proposed Constructions	July 1, 2019
Joinder and Amendment Motions	July 19, 2019
Completion of Claim Construction Discovery	July 29, 2019
Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement	August 5, 2019
Claim Construction Opening Briefs	August 26, 2019
Claim Construction Response Briefs	September 23, 2019
Claim Construction Hearing	October 14, 2019
Substantial Document Production Date	November 22, 2019
Last Day to Serve Written Discovery	July 8, 2020
End of Fact Discovery	August 7, 2020
Final Infringement Contentions	August 17, 2020
S.P.R. § 4.4 Advice of Counsel Disclosure and Privilege Log	August 17, 2020
Rovi's Rule 26 Expert Reports for Issues on which Rovi Bears the Burden of Proof	August 17, 2020
Final Invalidity Contentions	September 14, 2020
Comcast's Rule 26 Expert Reports for Issues on which Comcast Bears the Burden of Proof	September 14, 2020
Comcast's Rebuttal Expert Reports	September 14, 2020
Rovi's Rebuttal Expert Reports	October 12, 2020
Discovery Cut-Off	November 9, 2020
Last Day to File Dispositive Motions	December 7, 2020
Last Day to File Daubert Motions	December 7, 2020
Last Day to File Discovery Motions	December 9, 2020
Alternative Dispute Resolution Deadline	December 11, 2020
L.R. 16-2.1 Meeting of Counsel and Related Disclosures	December 16, 2020

	1
	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

Event	Deadline
L.R. 16-4 Memorandum of Contentions of Law and Fact	January 4, 2021
Rule 26(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures, including L.R. 16-5 Witness Lists and L.R. 16-6 Exhibit Lists	January 4, 2021
Motions in Limine	January 11, 2021
Final Pretrial Conference Order	January 14, 2021
Rule 26(a)(3)(B) Objections	January 14, 2021
Responses to Motions in Limine	January 18, 2021
Final Pretrial Conference	January 25, 2021
L.R. 16-3 Disclosure of Graphic and Illustrative Materials	January 29, 2021
Proposed Voir Dire Questions	February 2, 2021
Last Day to Serve Trial Briefs	February 2, 2021
Proposed Jury Instructions	February 2, 2021
Trial	February 9, 2021

DISCOVERY ORDER

I. ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION

The parties will abide by the Court's Standing Patent E-Discovery Order, with one exception, provided the Court permits it: The parties currently believe that the review and production of emails in response to requests for production would be costly, burdensome, and of limited value in light of the substantial email-related discovery the parties previously obtained in related cases. Accordingly, the parties agree that: (1) written discovery shall not include requests for the production of emails in any format; (2) the parties are not required to produce emails in any format in response to requests for production; and (3) Rules 7-9 of the Court's Standing Patent E-Discovery Order do not apply. If the need for limited email discovery arises during discovery, either party may later move to allow propounding limited email discovery requests.

II. PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

- (A) The parties agree that the preparation and exchange of privilege logs is a costly and burdensome exercise. Accordingly, the parties shall not, as a general matter, exchange privilege logs.
- (B) In the event a dispute arises where a party believes that the production of a privilege log limited in scope may be appropriate, the parties agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding the propriety and scope of such a privilege log. Any party may move the Court to compel production of any documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log, provided that such a motion is filed prior to the close of discovery (except for good cause shown).

III. DISCOVERY LIMITATIONS

- (A) Each side is allowed to serve a total of 50 requests for admission and 30 interrogatories on the other side. As used herein, Rovi is one "side" and the Comcast is the other "side."
- (B) Each side is allowed up to a total of 7 hours of deposition testimony of each testifying expert for each report on a separate subject (e.g., if an expert opines on infringement and validity, 14 hours of deposition testimony would be permitted) with an absolute maximum deposition time of 14 hours for each expert per side; except that, for any testifying expert who opines on more than three patents-in-suit, the parties will meet and confer in good faith to discuss reasonable increases to the hour limits set forth in this paragraph.
- (C) Each side is allowed up to a total of 7 hours of deposition testimony for each inventor of each patent-in-suit up to an absolute maximum of 10 hours per inventor per side (e.g., if an inventor is a named inventor on a single patent, that inventor could provide up to 7 hours of deposition testimony per side where an inventor who is named on two or more patents could provide up to 10 hours of deposition testimony per side).
- (D) For party witnesses other than testifying experts and inventors, each side is allowed to take up to 80 hours of party deposition testimony (including depositions taken pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and (1)), and up to 17 party witnesses may be noticed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(1). The parties reserve the right to seek leave to depose additional witnesses for good cause.
- (E) For non-party witnesses other than non-party inventors, each side is allowed to take up to 80 hours of non-party deposition testimony

- (F) Except by the parties' mutual agreement or agreement of the witness, no single deposition of any one witness can exceed 7 hours on the record in a single business day.
- (G) Depositions involving non-English testimony will count as 1 hour for each 2 hours on the record. For example, two hours of such deposition time will count as one hour for purposes of deposition limits.

Any party may later move to modify these limitations for good cause, or the parties may file a motion to modify them by agreement.

IV. STIPULATIONS REGARDING DISCOVERY

- (A) Stipulation Regarding the Authenticity of Documents.
 - 1. Absent affirmative evidence that a document or thing is not what it purports to be, Rovi agrees that, for purposes of Rule 901 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rovi will not contest the authenticity of any document or thing, including any true and correct copy thereof, that Comcast produces in this action.
 - 2. Absent affirmative evidence that a document or thing is not what it purports to be, Comcast agrees that, for purposes of Rule 901 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Comcast will not contest the authenticity of any document or thing, including any true and correct copy thereof, that Rovi produces in this action.
 - 3. The parties' agreements in subparagraphs (1) and (2) above do not apply to handwritten notes. If a document or thing that a party produces also bears handwritten notes, the

parties' agreements do not apply to the handwritten-notes portion of the document, but do apply to the remainder of the document or thing. The parties agree that, at a mutually agreed upon time prior to trial, each side may identify to the opposing side a reasonable amount of documents and things containing handwritten notes as to which that party wishes to obtain a stipulation of authenticity.

- 4. At a mutually agreed upon time prior to trial, each side may identify to the other side a reasonable amount of third-party documents and things as to which that side wishes to obtain a stipulation of authenticity. The sides agree to give goodfaith consideration to such reasonable requests pertaining to third-party documents and things.
- 5. In the event that a dispute arises regarding the authenticity of a document, the parties agree to meet and confer in good faith promptly about the authenticity of such document(s), and, if necessary, to expedite any related motions for resolution by the Court.
- 6. Nothing in this stipulation regarding the authenticity of documents shall be construed as an agreement that any documents or things that are subject to this stipulation are otherwise admissible into evidence by any party. The parties expressly reserve the right to object to the admissibility of any document or thing under any grounds permitted by law and not expressly addressed herein.
- (B) Counsel need not preserve drafts of expert reports (partial or

complete), notes, and other evidence of communications with experts on the subject of the expert's actual or potential testimony. Further, the parties agree that no party is required to produce communications between counsel and expert witnesses; communications between an expert and others, including staff members who work at the direction of the expert to support the expert; or drafts of expert reports.

V. APPLICABLE ORDERS

The parties and counsel are charged with notice of and are required to fully comply with each of the standing orders of this Court. These orders are posted on the Court's website at http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-andrew-j-guilford. The substance of some such orders may be included expressly within this Discovery Order, while others (including the Court's Order Re Early Meeting of Parties and Scheduling Conference, Order Specifying Procedures, and Patent Rules) are incorporated herein by reference. Except as modified in this Discovery Order, such standing orders shall be binding on the parties and counsel, regardless of whether they are expressly included herein or made a part hereof by reference.

Case 2:19-cv-00275-AG-FFM Document 71-1 Filed 06/24/19 Page 9 of 9 Page ID #:2481